MCDR INSPECTION REPORT

1.0 GENERAL

SN	Particulars	Details
1	Name of inspecting office &	S. Kartikeya, Jr. Mining Geologist,
	designation	Indian Bureau of Mines, Raipur
2	Accompany with Mine	Shri Bhaktulal Ramani, Lessee
	Officials	
3	Date of inspection	26/06/2019
4	Name of the Mine	Nandini Khundini Limestone Mine
5	Total Lease Area (Ha) with	Forest: NIL
	breakup of Non-forest and	Non-forest: 3.525 Ha.
	forest land	Total Lease Area: 3.525 Ha.
6	Mine Code	38MPR13031
7	IBM Registration Number under rule 45 of MCDR, 1988	IBM/10125/2012
8	Name of the lessee, Address,	Shri Bhaktulal Ramani
	phone, email and fax number	House No. 399, Sindhi Colony,
		Station Road, Durg,
		District Durg (Chhattisgarh) – 490001
		Phone: +91 (0) 788 – 2331939
		+91 (0) 9300696291
	\	Email: blramani7@gmail.com
9	Village	Nandini Khundini
10	Taluka / Mandal / District	Dhamdha
11	State	Chhattisgarh
12	Post office & PIN	Nandini Nagar, Pincode: 490036
13	Nearest police station	Nandini Nagar
14	Nearest Railway station	Durg
15	Date of Grant of Mining Lease	27/06/1994 to 26/06/2014 (20 years)
16	Date of Execution	27/06/1994
17	Date of opening of Mine	19/07/1994
18	Date of first Renewal, if	27/06/2014 to 26/06/2044 (30 years)
	applicable and its period &	As per section 8A of MMDR Amendment Act, 2015
	expiry	the lease period has been extended up to 50 years.
		Supplementary lease agreement for period has been
40	Date of occard December 1	Made.
19	Date of second Renewal, if	Not applicable
	applicable and its period &	
20	expiry Date of submission of	No information available.
20	renewal application if Mining	NO IIIIOITIIALIOIT AVAIIADIC.
	Operations are continuing	
	under deemed extension	
21	Name of the Nominated	Not appointed
- '	Owner with Address, phone,	Tot appointed
	email, fax number and date	
	of appointment	
22	• •	Not appointed
22	Name of the Mine Agent with	Not appointed
	Address, phone, email, fax number and date of	
	appointment	
<u></u>	αρροιπιπιστιτ	

23	Name of the Mines Manager with Address, phone, email,	Not appointed	
	fax number and date of		
25	appointment in mines Name of the Mining	Not appointed	
	Engineer, Qualification and		
	total experience with		
	Address, phone, email, fax number and date of		
	appointment in mine		
26	Whether Geologist and	Not appointed	
	Mining Engineer appointed in		
	mines satisfy the rule 55 & carrying out their duties as		
	per rule 56 & 57.		
27	Date of Approval of Mining	Mining Plan approved vide letter no.	
	Plan/Modified Mining Plan	DRG/LST/MPLN-286/NGP Nagpur dated 30/07/1993	
	with five-year period and specific condition in approval	Proposal Period: 1994-95 to 1998-1999	
	letter, if any.	Modified Mining Plan approved vide letter no. DR/LST/MPLN-286/NGP/2016/30/RPR, Raipur	
		dated 10/08/2016	
		Proposal Period: 2016-17 to 2018-19	
		Lapse Period:	
		1999-2000 to 2003-04 (5 years) 2004-05 to 2008-09 (5 Years)	
		2014-15 to 2015-16 (2 years)	
		Does not have valid approved document after	
		F.Y. 2018-19	
28	Date of Approval of Scheme of Mining/Modified Scheme of	Scheme of Mining approved vide letter no. DRG/LST/MPLN-286/NGP Nagpur dated 02/09/2013	
	Mining with five-year period	Proposal Period: 2009-10 to 2013-14	
	and specific condition in		
	approval letter, if any.		
29	Mineral(s) granted in lease	Limestone	
30	and proved for mining Method of Mining(Opencast,	Opencast	
	Underground)	Oponoust	
31	Category (Fully Mechanised,	Category 'B' Manual	
20	Others or Manual)	Non Contino	
32	Captive/Non Captive Existing EC Limit and validity	Non-Captive Not obtained (Under Process)	
34	Status of Financial	Rs. 1,00,000/- (One Lakh) vide BG No.	
•	Assurance as per rule 27 of	07960002116 dated 27/07/2016, valid up to	
	MCDR, 2017	31/03/2019	
		Rs. 4,00,000/- (Four Lakh) vide BG No.	
		07960000118 dated 04/01/2018, valid up to 31/03/2019	
		Does not have valid Financial Assurance after	
		F.Y. 2018-19	

Scientific Mining: Compliance of proposals of approved mining plan/scheme of mining. –

1.0 Exploration

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
1a	Backlog of previous year	No exploration has been proposed during plan period.	Not applicable.	Detail exploration is required in this area to know the depth wise persistency of the mineral/ore
1b	Exploration over lease area for Geological axis 1 or 2.	-do-	-do-	-do-
1c	Exploration Agency & Expenditure in lakh Rupees during the year	-do-	-do-	-do-
1d	Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2	-do-	-do-	-do-
1e	Balance reserves as on 01.04.2019 (in Million Tonnes)	Under (122): 0.345 Million Tonnes Under (222): 0.697 Million Tonnes	Under (122): 0.372 Million Tonnes Under (222): 0.697 Million Tonnes	No production of ore/mineral has been reported during last three years.
1f	General remarks of inspecting officer on geology, exploration etc.	Detail exploration is required in this area to know the depth wise persistency of the mineral.		

2.0 Development

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
2a	Location of development w.r.t. lease area (Range in UTM/Local Coordinate/Plot No./Location)	2018-19: Pit No.1: In between local co-ordinates S10 to S55 and W75 to E20 Pit No.2: In between local co-ordinates N45 to N75 and W35 to E55	Mining is being done irregularly in several places without any approved proposal. No such proposal has been given in the earlier approved MMP for mining at	
2b	Separate benches in topsoil, overburden and	Topsoil: NIL OB: NIL	Could not be ascertained as both	-

	mineral (Rule 13)	Mineral: 02 nos.	the quarries are waterlogged.	
2c	Stripping ratio or ore to OB ratio	1:0.05	Nil production reported during last three years.	-
2d	Quantity of topsoil generation in m3	No topsoil will be generated during plan period.	The entire development has been proposed within quarry.	-
2e	Quantity of overburden generation in m3	No overburden will be generated during plan period.	-do-	-
2f	General remarks of inspecting officer on development of pit w.r.t. type of deposit etc.	pits. In view of system development through	ent has been observed stematic mining, it is d ough Manual Minin ig may be proposed	ifficult to do g. Hence,

3.0 Exploitation

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
3a	Number of pits proposed for production	02 nos.	02 nos.	Both the quarries are waterlogg ed.
3b	Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed	8550.00 Metric Tonnes	NIL	The mine is closed/no n- operation due to no environme nt clearance.
3c	Recovery of salable/usable mineral from ROM production	95%	-do-	-do-
3d	Quantity of mineral reject generation	450.00 Metric Tonnes	-do-	-do-
3e	Grade of mineral reject generation and threshold value declared	Not mentioned in the approved document.	Not applicable.	-do-
3f	Quantity of sub-grade mineral generation	No sub-grade ore will be generated during plan period.	Not applicable.	-do-
3g	Grade of sub-grade mineral generation	Not mentioned in the approved document.	Not applicable.	-do-

3h	Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM	Mechanised Crushing and Screening.	Could not be ascertained as the mine is closed due to no environment clearance from last three years.	-do-
3i	Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed & carried out for sub-grade mineral and reject	Not proposed in the approved document.	Not applicable.	-do-
3j	Provision of drilling & blasting in mineral benches	Dia: 34 mm Burden: 1.0 m Spacing: 1.0 m Depth: 1.50 m	Could not be ascertained as the mine is closed due to no environment clearance from last three years.	-do-
3k	Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches	Tipper: 02 nos. with 16 tonnes capacity.	-do-	-do-
31	Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM	Mineral/ore: 3.0 m	At places bench height is very steep at about 15-20 meters deep from present ground level. These area are required to be backfilled.	-do-
3m	Total area covered under excavation/pits	1.72 Ha. up to end of plan period.	Could not be ascertained as no updated plan and sections have been prepared.	-do-
3n	Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine during the year	1:0.05	Nil production reported during last three years.	-do-
30	Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year	Pit-1.72 Ha. Waste/reject-0.20 Ha. Others- 0.225 Ha.	Could not be ascertained as no updated plan and sections have been prepared.	-do-
3р	Production of ROM mineral during last five-year period, as applicable (in Metric Tonnes)	Yr- 2014-15: 27000.00 Metric Tonnes Yr- 2015-16: No approved proposal Metric Tonnes Yr- 2016-17: 9000.00 Metric Tonnes Yr- 2017-18: 9000.00 Metric Tonnes	Yr- 2014-15: 11640.00 Metric Tonnes Yr- 2015-16: NIL Metric Tonnes Yr- 2016-17:NIL Metric Tonnes Yr- 2017-18: NIL Metric Tonnes Yr- 2018-19: NIL Metric Tonnes	-do-

		Yr- 2018-19: 9000.00 Metric Tonnes
3q	General remarks of inspecting officer on method of mining etc.	The mine is closed/non-operation due to no environment clearance from last three years.

4.0 Solid Waste Management-Dumping

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
4a	Separate dumping of	Topsoil: NIL	Could not be	-
١	topsoil, OB & mineral	OB: NIL	ascertained as the	
	reject (Rule 36, 37)	Mineral Reject:	mine is closed/non-	
		450.00 Metric	operations from last	
		Tonnes per year	three years due to	
		along 7.5 m barrier	no environment	
		zone	clearance	
4b	Location of topsoil, OB &	Mineral Reject:	Not achieved as the	-
	mineral reject dumps	N55 to N82 and	mine is closed/non-	
	(Range in UTM/Local	E50 to E100	operations from last	
	Coordinate/Plot		three years due to	
	No./Location)		no environment	
			clearance	
4c	Number of dumps within	Mineral reject: 01	-do-	-
	lease area and outside	no. along 7.5 m		
	lease area	barrier zone.		
4d	Location of dumps w.r.t.	Proposed beyond	-do-	-
	ultimate pit limit (Rule 14)	ultimate pit limit.		
4e	Number of active & alive	Active: 01 no	-do-	-
	dumps			
4f	Number of dead dumps	Not proposed in	Not applicable.	-
		the approved		
4	N	document.	1.	
4g	Number of dumps	-do-	-do-	-
4h	stabilised	-do-	-do-	
4h	Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along	-00-	-00-	-
	dumps are there			
4i	Length of Retaining wall or	-do-	-do-	
41	garland drain all along	-uu-	-uu-	_
	dump			
4j	Number of settling ponds	-do-	-do-	_
4k	Specific comments of		on-operations from last	three years
'''	inspecting officer	due to no environme	-	and your
		o		

5.0 Solid Waste Management-Backfilling

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
5a	Status on part or full	Proposal for ful	I Not applicable at	-
	extraction of mineral from	extraction of minera	l present.	
	mined out area before	from mined out area	a	
	starting backfilling	before starting	3	
		backfilling has beer	1	
		given during	3	

		conceptual stage.		
5b	Area under backfilling of mined out area	Not proposed in the approved document.	Not applicable.	-
5c	Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mined out area (Rule 36)	No topsoil will be generated during plan period.	Not applicable.	-
5d	Total area fully reclaimed & rehabilitated	Not proposed in the approved document.	Not applicable as the area is not matured for reclamation and rehabilitation.	-
5e	General remarks of inspecting officer on backfilling, reclamation etc	The mine is closed/no years due to no enviro	n-operations from last onment clearance.	three

6.0 Progressive Mine Closure Plan

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
6a	Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly - Rule 23. Details should be given in the format as given in Annexure-20.	Not submitted for F.Y. 2017-18 and 2018-19	The mine is closed/non-operations from last three years due to no environment clearance.	-
6b	Management of worked/mined out benches i) Area available for rehabilitation (ha) ii) Afforestation done (ha) iii) No. of saplings planted during the year iv) Cumulative no. of plants v) Any other specific method of rehabilitation vi) Cost incurred on watch & care during the year	0.20 Ha. 200 nos. 200 mos. 800 nos. By plantation Rs. 32,000/-	Not done Not done Not done Not done Not done Not done	The mine is closed/n on- operation s from last three years due to no environm ent clearanc e.
6c	Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling i) Voids available for backfilling (L X B X D) ii) Void filled by waste/tailings iii) Afforestation on the backfilled area iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir v) Any other specific	Reclamation and rehabilitation are not proposed in the approved document during plan period.	Not applicable.	-

	means		
6d	Compliance of Rehabilitation of waste land within lease i) Afforestation ii) Area rehabilitated (ha) iii) Method of rehabilitation	Mineral reject will be accumulated along 7.5 m barrier zone.	Not achieved.
6e	Compliance of Environmental monitoring (core zone & buffer zone)	Proposal has been given in the approved document for regular monitoring of environment parameters.	Not achieved.
6f	General remarks of inspecting officer on PMCP compliance & progressive	The mine is closed/non-operations from last three years due to no environment clearance.	

7.0 Mineral Conservation

CNI	Itam	Dranagala	A atual suggle	Domorko
SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
7a	ROM Mineral dispatch or	Sizing has been	Could not be	-
	grade-wise sorting within	proposed in the		
	lease area	approved document.	mine is closed/non-	
		+6 mm and -6 mm	operations from	
		ore/mineral	last three years	
			due to no	
			environment	
			clearance	
7b	Method of grade-wise	Size wise sorting has	-do-	-
	mineral sorting i.e. manual	been proposed in the		
	or mechanical	approved document.		
7c	Different grade of mineral	-do-	-do-	-
	sorted out at mines			
7d	Any beneficiation process	Not proposed in the	Not applicable	-
	at mines	approved document.		
7e	General remarks of	The mine is closed/non-operations from last three years		hree years
	inspecting officer on	due to no environment clearance		
	Mineral conservation &			
	beneficiation issues			

8.0 Environment

SN	Item	Proposals	Actual work	Remarks
8a	Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 36)	No topsoil will be generated during plan period.		-
8b	Concurrent use or storage of topsoil	-do-	-do-	-
8c	Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 37)	Separate dumping of mineral reject will be proposed in the approved document at 7.5 m barrier zone.	Not achieved as the mine is closed/non- operations from last three years	-

			due to no environment	
			clearance	
8d	Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use	Not proposed in the approved document	Not applicable.	-
8e	Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc)	-do-	-do-	-
8f	Baseline information on existence of plantation & additional plantation done (Rule 44)	600 nos. of plants will be planted during plan period.	Not achieved	Deviation has been pointed out
8g	Survival rate	Not mentioned in the approved document.	Not applicable.	-
8h	Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust	Proposal has been given in the approved document for water sprinkling through water tanker.	Not achieved as the mine is closed/non-operations from last three years due to no environment clearance	-
8i	General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines are	Not achieved as the min last three years due to r		

9.0 Compliance of Rule 45

S.	Item	COMMENTS	Remarks
N.			
	Status of submission of Monthly and Annual	M.R. Submitted upto – June 2019 A.R. submitted upto- F.Y. 2018-19	NIL
	returns	•	

S.	Item	Details GIVEN in	Observation of	Remarks
N.		A.R.	I/Officer	
9b	Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining	Mining Engineer: Not appointed.	The mine is closed/non-	-
	Engineer, Geologist and	Geologist: Not	<u>.</u>	
	Manager	appointed.	three years due to	
		Manager: Not	no environment	
		appointed.	clearance.	
9c	Scrutiny of Annual return	Pit-1.330 Ha.	Could not be	-
	on land use pattern for	Waste/reject-0.40	ascertained as the	
	area under pits,	Ha.	updated plan and	
	reclaimed area, dumps	Others- 0.210 Ha.	sections has not	
	etc.		been prepared.	

9d	Scrutiny of Annual return on Afforestation	NIL given	Not achieved.	-
9e	Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade & quantity)	Quantity: NIL Grade: 39% CaO	Quantity: NIL Grade: 39% CaO	-
9f	Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore	ROM: NIL Graded Ore: NIL	ROM: NIL Graded Ore: NIL	-
9g	Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price & production cost	Sale Value: NIL Ex-Mine Price: NIL Cost of Production: Rs. 9000/- (Dead Rent)	Sale Value: NIL Ex-Mine Price: NIL Cost of Production: Rs. 9000/- (Dead Rent)	-
9i	Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets	NIL given	NIL given	-
9k	Scrutiny of Annual return on mining machineries	Not given	Not given	-

10- Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of earlier violation pointed out:-

No outstanding violation.

Date of Last Inspection, Name and Designation of the Inspecting Officer:

Shri. R. N. Mishra, Sr. Mining Geologist, IBM, Raipur, Date of Inspection: 08/03/2018

Details of the Violations Pointed-out during Inspections:

नियम	उल्लंघन के प्रकार का विस्तृत ब्यौरा
Rule	The following deviations have been found in the mine from the Modified Mining Plan vide
11(1)	DRG/LST/MPLN-286/NGP/2016/30//RPR, Raipur dated 10/08/2016 for the period of 2016-17 to
	2018-19:
	Development: There was a proposal given for development and excavation of mineral/ore
	During F.Y. 2018-19:
	Pit No.1: In between local co-ordinates S10 to S55 and W75 to E20
	Pit No.2: In between local co-ordinates N45 to N75 and W35 to E55
	Whereas, Mining is being done irregularly in several places without any approved proposal.
	No such proposal has been given in the earlier approved MMP for mining at 7.5 m barrier zone.
	Development and excavation was observed all along the lease boundary, and most of places 7.5m
	barrier zone has been mined out.
	A 66
	Afforestation: There was a proposal for plantation of 600 nos. of plants during 2016-17 to 2018-
	19. Whereas, None of the plants have been planted.
नियम	खनन पट्टा धारक आगामी 05 वर्ष की अवधि के लिए खनन कार्य करने के लिए खनन योजना को 05
संख्या	वर्ष की अवधि समाप्ति, जिसके लिए इसे इससे पूर्व अवसर पर अनुमादित किया गया था, से कम से कम
11(4)	180 दिन पहले पुनर्विलोकन के लिए सक्षम प्राधिकारी को प्रस्तुत करेगा। जो कि आज दिनांक तक सक्षम
	प्राधिकारी के समक्ष अनुमोदन हेतु प्रस्तुत नहीं किया गया है एवं अनुमादित खनन योजना की अनुमोदन
	अवधि दिनांक 31 / 03 / 2019 को समाप्त हो चुकी है।

नियम	खनन पट्टा धारक, खनन योजना की प्रस्तुतिकरण, संशोधन और पुनर्विलोकन के समय, सक्षम प्राधिकारी
संख्या 23	के समक्ष खनन योजना के एक घटक के रूप में खान बंद करने की एक प्रगतिशील योजना प्रस्तुत
	करेगा। जो कि आज दिनांक तक सक्षम प्राधिकारी के समक्ष अनुमोदन हेतु प्रस्तुत नहीं किया गया है।
Rule 26	A yearly report as per the format specified by the Indian Bureau of Mines, before 1st day of July
(2)	every year setting forth the extent of protective and rehabilative works carried out has not been
	submitted for the year 2017-18 and 2018-19.
नियम	खनन पट्टा धारक द्वारा, खनन योजना में निहित खान बन्द करने की प्रगतिशील योजना के आवश्यक
संख्या	और उचित कार्यान्वयन के लिए, यथास्थिति, एक वित्तीय आश्वासन प्रस्तुत किया जायेगा। पूर्व में जमा की
27(1)	गई वित्तीय आश्वासन की अवधि दिनांक 31 / 03 / 2019 को समाप्त हो चुकी है।
Rule 33	Updated print copy of the surface geological plan and sections has not been submitted before 30th
	day of June for the year 2017-18 and 2018-19.

(Name of the Inspecting Officer and Designation and Date)

(Shaival Kartikeya) Jr. Mining Geologist Indian Bureau of Mines, Raipur Date of Inspection: 26/06/2019